arXiv:math/9901115v1 [math.GT] 26 Jan 1999

Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, Vol. 46, No. 12, 1994, 1907-1914.

MINIMAL HANDLE DECOMPOSITION OF SMOOTH SIMPLY CONNECTED 5-MANIFOLDS

A. O. Prishlyak

Kiev University, Ukraine

e-mail: prish@mechmat.univ.kiev.ua

1991 MSC: 58E05, 57R65 (57R70, 57R80)

A theorem on the existence of the unique minimal topologic handle decomposition of differentiable simply connected five-dimensional manifolds is proved. For a decomposition of this sort, the number of handles of each index is given.

Assume that W^n is a smooth compact simply connected manifold with boundary $\partial W = V_0 U\ V_1$ where V_0 and V_1 are connected components of the boundary. We say that the sequence of inclusions $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset ... \subset W$ is a handle decomposition of W beginning from $V_0 \times I$ if

(i) W_0 is a collar $V_0 \times I$;

(ii) W_{i+1} results from W_i after pasting a handle of index k to $\partial W_i \backslash V_0$, *i.e.*, $W_{i+1} = (W_i.U\ D^k \times D^{n-k})\backslash$, where denotes the identification of the points $\partial D^k \times D^{n-k} = S^{k-1} \times D^{n-k}$ with the images of these points in $\partial W_i \backslash V_0$ for some inclusion $f : S^{k-1} \times D^{n-k} \to \partial W_i \backslash V_0$;

(iii) for any $x \in W$, there exists a neighborhood that intersects only finitely miny sets $W_i \backslash W_i{-}_1$ [1],

Let N_λ be a number of handles of index λ in a handle decomposition of W. This decomposition is called minimal if there is no other handle decomposition of W with the entire number of handles less than $\Sigma\ N_\lambda$ and with the number of handles of index λ at most N_λ for each λ. We say that a minimal handle decomposition is unique if any other minimal handle decomposition has the same number of handles of index λ for each λ

The existence of the unique smooth minimal handle decomposition for smooth simply connected manifolds was proved by Smale in [2] (in the case of simply connected boundaries for $n \leq 6$), by Barden in [3] (in the case of a closed manifold for $n = 5$), and by Shkol'nikov in [4] (in the case of manifolds with standard boundaries for $n = 5$).

We say that the triple (C, G, d) is a crossed module if C and G are groups such that G acts on C from the left and $d : C \to G$ is a homomorphism such that $c_1 + c_2 - c_1 = d(c_1)c_2$, $d(gc) = g(d(c))g{-}^1$. Sharko has shown that if $n \leq 6$, then, on W^n, there exists a unique minimal handle decomposition without handles of indices 0, 1, $n-1$, n, in which the number of handles of index 2 is equal to the minimal number of generators of the group $\pi_2(W^n\backslash V_1)$ considered as a $\pi_1(V_1)$-module [5].

Freedman has proved that if W^5 is a simply connected five-dimensional h-cobordism, then W^5 is homeomorphic to $V_0\times I$. By using the method of proving this assertion, one can show that there exists a unique topological handle decomposition of a smooth simply connected manifold W^5 with simply connected boundary components V_0 and V_1. If the boundary components are not simply connected, then the transversal spheres are absent and, hence, this method cannot be applied to the belt spheres of two-handles, which are necessary for constructing the Kasson handles.

Lemma. Assume that W is a simply connected compact five-dimensional manifold with the boundary $\partial W = V_0\bigcup V_1$, where V_i, $i = 0, 1$, are connected components of the boundary. Then there exists a minimal system of generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k$ of the group $\pi_2(W, V_1)$ considered as a crossed $\pi_1(V_1)$ - module such that, under the action of the Gurevich homomorphism $\pi_2(W, V_1) \rightarrow H_2(W, V_1)$, the generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_s$, $s\leq k$, should turn into a minimal system of generators of the group $H_2(W, V_1)$ and the generators $\alpha_{s+1}, ..., \alpha_k$ should lie in the kernel of this homomorphism.

Proof. Consider a commutative diagram consisting of the segments of exact homotopic and exact homo-logical sequences of the pair (W, V_1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_2(W) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_2(W,V_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(V_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
H_2(W) \arrow[r] & H_2(W,V_1) \arrow[r] & H_1(V_1) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since

$$\pi_2(W) = H_2(W),\ \pi_1(V_1)/[\pi_1(V_1), \pi_1(V_1)] = H_1(V_1)$$

$\pi_2(W,V_1) \rightarrow H_2(W,V_1)$ is an epimorphism. Let $\beta_1, ..., \beta_k$ be an arbitrary minimal system of generators of the group $\pi_2(W,V_1)$ considered as a crossed $\pi_1(V_1)$-module. Denote by ω_i . the image of β_i. under the homomorphism $\pi_2(W,V_1) \rightarrow H_2(W,V_1)$. Then ω_i form a system of generators of the group $H_2(W,V_1)$. The following operations are called elementary transformations:

(i) the change of ω_i by $\omega_i \pm \omega_j$., where $i\neq j$;

(ii) the multiplication of a generator ω_i by -1;

(iii) the renumbering of generators.

It is clear that, under elementary transformations, a system of generators turns into a system of generators. Let us show that, for the given system, the elementary transformations over the generators ω_i enable one to select a system with the minimal number of generators γ_1, $\gamma_2, ..., \gamma_s$.

Assume that the system $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_S$ with the minimal number of generators corresponds to the decomposition of a group into a direct sum of cyclic groups (γ_i are generators of the direct summands). Then

$$\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij}\omega_j,\ \ \omega_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ij}\gamma_i.$$

If γ_i. has order p_i then the coefficient b_{ij} is taken modulo p_i. For a fixed i, we consider the coefficients b_{i1}, $b_{i2}, ..., b_{im}$. If γ_i is a free generator, then b_{i1}, $b_{i2}, ...,$ b_{im} have the greatest common divisor equal to one. Therefore, after elementary transformations, ω_j can be reduced to the form 0, ... , 0, 1, 0,... , 0 . By substituting the new ω_j in the relation

$$\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij}\omega_j$$

we verify that the coefficient a_{ij} is equal to one. In other words,

$$\gamma_i = \omega_i + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij}\omega_j$$

and, hence, after elementary transformations, ω_i can be replaced by γ_i. If γ_i has order p_i, then, by elementary transformation of ω_j., we can reduce the coefficients b_{i1}, $b_{i2}, ...,$ b_{im} to the form 0,...,0, q_i, 0,..., 0, where q_i and p_i are relatively prime numbers and, hence, $q_i\gamma_i$ is also a generator. After multiplying the relation

$$\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij}\omega_j,$$

by q_i we obtain that, on the basis of the elementary transformations, one can replace ω_i by $q_i\gamma_i$. Thus, a system with the minimal number of generators can be selected from the system of generators ω_i.

Let us perform similar operations over the generators $\beta_1, ..., \beta_k$. Namely, if, when reducing to a minimal system of generators, ω_i. in $H_2(W, V_1)$ is replaced by $\omega_i. \pm \omega_j$, then the corresponding generator β_i in $\pi_2(W,\ V_1)$ is replaced by $\beta_i \pm \beta_j$. Thus, a new system of generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots\ , \alpha_k$ will remain a minimal one for the group $\pi_2(W,\ V_1)$, the generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots\ , \alpha_S$ will turn into the minimal system of generators of the group $H_2(W,\ V_1)$, and the generators α_{m+1}, ... , α_k will lie in the commutator $[\pi_2(W,\ V_1),\ \pi_2(W,\ V_1)]$.

Theorem. Assume that W is a smooth simply connected compact five-dimensional manifold with the boundary $\partial W = V_0 U\ V_1$. Denote by V_0 the boundary component for which

$$\mu(\pi_2(W, V_0)) - \mu(H_2(W, V_0)) \leq \mu(\pi_2(W, V_1)) - \mu(H_2(W, V_1)).$$

Then, on W, there exists the unique minimal topological handle decomposition without handles of indices 0, 1,4, and 5, but with $\pi_2(W,\, V_1)$ handles of index 2 and $\mu(\pi_2(W,\, V_1)) - \mu(\pi_2(W,\, V_1) + \mu(\pi_2(W,\, V_1)$ handles of index 3. Here, $\mu(H)$ is a minimal number of generators of the group H and $\pi_2(W,\, V_i)$, $i = l, 2$, is regarded as a crossed $\pi_1(V_i)$-module.

Proof. Let W be a smooth manifold satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Denote

$$k := \mu(\pi_2(W, V_0)),$$

$$s := \mu(H_2(W, V_0)),$$

$$m := \mu(\pi_2(W, V_1)),$$

$$n := \mu(H_2(W, V_1)).$$

Consider a handle decomposition of the manifold, beginning from $V_0 \times I$. Since $\pi(W, V_i) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$, one can find a handle decomposition without handles of indices 0, 1,4, and 5 [6, 7]. Let $h_1^\alpha, \ldots\ , h_k^\alpha$ be tube neighborhoods of the disks that form a minimal system of generators of the group $\pi_2(W, V_0)$ regarded as a $\pi_1(V_0,\ x)$-module, and let $H_1^\alpha, \ldots, H_m^\alpha$ be tube neighborhoods of the disks that form a minimal system of generators of the group $\pi_2(W,\, V_1)$ regarded as a $\pi_1(V_0,\ y)$-module. In addition, let these neighborhoods be such that the core of $h_1^\alpha, \ldots, h_s^\alpha$ and $H_1^\alpha, \ldots, H_n^\alpha$ are minimal systems of generators of the groups $H_2(W,\ V_0)$ and $H_2(W, V_1)$, respectively. Below, the described neighborhoods play the roles of 2- and 3-handles. Consider a handle decomposition of W which contains the handles $h_1^\alpha, \ldots, h_k^\alpha$ and $H_1^\alpha, \ldots, H_m^\alpha$, and the other 2- and 3- handles lie in$cl(W \setminus (\cup h_i \cup H_i^\alpha))$. To this handle decomposition, we add the following $k+m$ pairs of mutually canceling 2-and 3-handles: the 2-handles $(h_1^\alpha, \cdots, h_{k^\alpha}, h_1^\gamma, \cdots, h_m^\gamma$ and the corresponding 3-handles $H_1^\beta, \cdots, H_k^\beta, H_1^\gamma, \cdots, H_m^\gamma$. After sliding the handle h_i^β over h_i^α, we replace the handles h_i^β by $h_i = h_i^\alpha + h_i^\beta$ and the handles H_i^γ by $H_i = H_i^\alpha + H_i^\gamma$. Then the index is defined as

$$\lambda(h_i, H_j^\gamma) = \delta_i^j, \lambda(h_i^\gamma, H_j) = \delta_i^j, (\delta_i^i = 1;\ \delta_i^j = 0, i \neq j)$$

and the matrix A consisting of the indices of intersection of 2- and 3-handles has the form

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccccc|}
 & H_1^\beta & \dots & H_k^\beta & H_1 & \dots & H_m & * \\
h_1 & 1 & & 0 & & & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & & 0 & & * \\
h_k & 0 & & 1 & & & & \\
h_1^\gamma & & & & 1 & & & \\
\vdots & & 0 & & & \ddots & & 0 \\
h_k^\gamma & & & & & & 1 & \\
* & & 0 & & & * & & *
\end{array}$$

By adding the handles, we reduce this matrix to the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} E & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{vmatrix}$$

in this case, the core of h_i and H_i as h_i^α and H_i^α, should be minimal systems of generators of the groups $\pi_2(W, V_o)$ and $\pi_2(W, V_1)$ regarded as crossed modules.

Below, we assume that this handle decomposition has no collar $V_0 \times I$ between 2- and 3-handles.

We set $W^1 = cl(W \setminus (\cup h_i \cup H_j \cup h_p^\gamma \cup H_q^\beta))$, $\partial W = V_0^1 U\ V_1^1$, where V_i^1 are connected components of the boundary. Then W^1 consists of the remaining 2- and 3-handles. For this manifold, the homology groups $H_2(W^1, V_0^1)$ and $H_2\{W^1, V_1^1)$ are specified by the matrix B and coincide with the corresponding homology groups of the manifold W because the handles h_i and H_i^β, h_j^γ and H_j have an index of intersection equal to one and do not contribute to homology groups.

On the basis of the van Kampen theorem, one can show that $\pi_1(W^1) = \pi_1(V_0^1) = \pi_1(V_1^1) = 0$. By adding handles on the manifold W^1, we reduce the matrix B to the diagonal form such that, on the diagonal, the numbers ± 1 should correspond only to the handles $h_1^\alpha, \dots$, h_s^α and $H_1^\alpha, \dots$, H_n^α whose cores are minimal systems of generators of the groups $H_2(W, V_0)$ and $H_2(W, V_1)$. We set $W^2 = cl(W^1 \setminus (\cup h_i^\alpha \cup H_j^\alpha)), 1 \le i \le s, 1 \le j \le n$. Then the matrix consisting of the indices of intersection of 2- and 3-handles on W^2 is the identity matrix and we have the homologies $H_i(W^2, V_0^2) = 0, 1 \le i \le 4$, where V_0^2 is a component of the boundary ∂W^2. By the Whitehead theorem, W^2 is an h-cobordism, and it follows from the Freedman theorem that W^2 is homeomorphic to $V_0^2 \times I$[8].

By construction, the cores of the handles $h_1, \dots, h_s$ and $H_1, \dots, H_n$ specify the same elements of the groups $H_2(W, V_0)$ and $H_2(W, V_1)$ as $h_1^\alpha, \dots, h_s^\alpha$ and $H_1^\alpha, \dots, H_n^\alpha$. Let us change their places- In this case, if we cut out all the handles from W except $h_1, \dots, h_s$, $H_1^\beta, \dots, H_s^\beta$, and $h_1^\gamma, \dots, h_s^\gamma$, $H_1, \dots, H_s$, we obtain a manifold with simply connected boundaries, which is a simply connected h-cobordism and, by the Freedman theorem [8], has the structure of topological product.

Thus, the matrix A can be reduced to the form

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccccccc|}
 & H_1^\omega & \dots & H_n^\omega & H_{n+1} & \dots & H_m & H_{s+1}^\gamma & \dots & H_k^\gamma \\
h_1^\omega & n_1 & & 0 & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & & 0 & & & 0 & \\
h_s^\omega & 0 & & n_t & & & & & & \\
h_{s+1} & & & & & & & 1 & & \\
\vdots & & 0 & & & 0 & & & \ddots & \\
h_k & & & & & & & & & 1 \\
h_{n+1}^\gamma & & & & 1 & & & & & \\
\vdots & & 0 & & & \ddots & & & 0 & \\
h_m^\gamma & & & & & & 1 & & &
\end{array}$$

The convention on notation of the boundary components $V_i,\ i = 0, l$, implies that $k - s \le m - n$. By construction, the cores of the handles $h_1^\alpha, ..., h_s^\alpha$, $h_{s+1}, ..., h_k$ are generators of $\pi_2(W, V_0)$, $H_1^\alpha, ..., H_n^\alpha$, $H_{n+1}, ..., H_m$ are generators of $\pi_2(W, V_1)$, and $h_{n+1}^\gamma \cdots, h_m^\gamma$ and $H_{s+1}^\gamma, \cdots, H_k^\gamma$ are trivial elements of these groups. Replace H_{s+i}^β by $H_{n+i}^\omega = H_{s+i}^\beta + H_{n+i}$, $1 \le i \le m - n$, and h_{n+i}^γ by $h_{s+i}^\omega = h_{n+i}^\gamma - h_{s+i}$, $1 \le i \le m - n$. Then the cores of the handles specify the same elements of the group $\pi_2(W,\ V_0)$ as h_{s+i} . We set $h_i^\omega = h_i^\alpha$, $1 \le i \le s$, and $H_i^\omega = H_i^\alpha$, $1 \le i \le n$. Then the cores h_i^ω and H_i^ω define minimal systems of generators of the groups $\pi_2(W, V_0)$ and $\pi_2(W, V_1)$, and the matrix A can be reduced to the form

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccccccc|}
 & H_1^\omega & & \dots & & & H_m^\omega & H^\beta_{s+m-n+1} & \dots & H^\beta_k \\
\hline
h_1^\omega & n_1 & & 0 & & & & & & \\
 & & \ddots & & & 0 & & & 0 & \\
 & 0 & & n_t & & & & & & \\
 & & & & 1 & & & & & \\
\vdots & & 0 & & & \ddots & & & 0 & \\
 & & & & & & 1 & & & \\
 & & & & & & & 1 & & \\
 & & 0 & & & 0 & & & \ddots & \\
h_m^\gamma & & & & & & & & & 1
\end{array}$$

Thus, we have constructed a handle decomposition with k handles of index 2 and $k-s+n$ handles of index 3.

Let us prove that this handle decomposition is minimal. Consider an arbitrary handle decomposition of W, beginning from $V_0 \times I$. Let W_2 be a submanifold containing all the handles of indices at most 2 and only these handles. Let us show that the number q of critical handles of index 2 in this handle decomposition is at least k. The mapping $\pi_2(W_2, V_1) \to \pi_2(W, V_0)$ is an epimorphism because any element of the group $\pi_2(W, V_0)$ is realized by an inclusion of a two-dimensional disk and, by general reasons, can be isotoped into the manifold W_2. By applying the Whitehead theorem of the structure of the second relative homotopic group, one can show that the cores of handles of index 2 define the generators of the group $\pi_2(W, V_0)$ and, hence, their number is at least k. Let handles $h_1, ..., h_k$ and $H_1, ..., H_k$ from the given decomposition specify the minimal systems of generators of the groups $\pi_2(W, V_0)$ and $\pi_2(W, V_1)$, respectively. We set $X = \bigcup h_i$, $Y = \bigcup H_i$. Consider the manifold $Z = W \backslash (X \bigcup V)$ with the boundary $\partial Z = V_0^1 \bigcup V_1^1$ where $V_0^1 = \partial X \backslash V_0$, $V_1^1 = \partial Y \backslash V_1$. By using the van Kampen-Seifert theorem, one can show that

$$\pi_1(Z) = \pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X) = \pi_1(V_0^1) = \pi_1(V_1^1) = 0.$$

The epimorphism $\pi_2(X,\, V_0) \to \pi_2(W,\, V_0)$ induces the epimorphism $\pi_2(X) \to \pi_2(W)$ in the exact homotopic sequence of the pair (W, X). Hence, the groups $\pi_2(W,\, X)$ and $H_2(W, X)$ are trivial. In this case, by the Poincare theorem on excision and duality,

$$h_2(w, x) = h_2(Y \cup Z, V_0^1) = h_3(Y \cup Z, V_1) = 0.$$

Since W is obtained from $Y \bigcup Z$ by pasting 3-handles, we have

$$H_3(X, V_0^1) = H_3(W, Y \cup Z) = kZ, H_2(X, V_0^1) = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\pi_2(W, Y) = H_2(W, Y) = H_2(X \cup Z, Vi^1) = H_3(X \cup Z, V_0) = 0,$$

$$H_3(Y, V_1^1) = H_3(W, X \cup Z) = mZ, H_2(Y, V_1^1)) = 0.$$

Consider the segment of the exact homological sequence of the triple ($X \bigcup Z$, X, V_0)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H_3(X \cup Z, X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H_3(X \cup Z, X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H_3(X \cup Z, X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H_2(X \cup Z, X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
 & H_3(Z, V_0^1) & kZ & \lambda Z & H_2(Z, V_0^1) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where λ can be found from the exact homological sequence of the triple ($W, X \bigcup Z$, V_0)

$$0 \to H_3(W, V_0) \to H_3(W, X \cup Z) \to H_2(X \cup Z, V_0) \to H_2(W, V_0) \to 0,$$

$$\lambda = \mu(H_2(X \cup Z, V_0)) = m + s - n.$$

Then

$$\mu(H_3(Z, V_0)) - \mu(H_2(Z, V_0^1)) = k - \lambda = k - m + n - s.$$

and $\mu(H_3(Z, V_0)) \leq k - \lambda = k - m + n - s$. It follows from the Morse inequality that, on the manifold Z, there exist at least $k - m + n - s$ handles of index 3. Thus, on the manifold W, there exist at least $k + n - s$ handles of index 3, whence the handle decomposition constructed in order to prove the theorem is minimal.

Corollary 1. Assume that W is a smooth simply connected compact five-dimensional manifold with the connected boundary $\partial\ W = V_0$. Then, on W, there exists a unique minimal topological handle decomposition without handles of indices 0, 1, 4, and 5, but with $\mu(\pi_2(W,\ V_0))$ handles of index 2 and $\mu(\pi_2(W,\ V_0)) - \mu(H_2(W,\ V_0)) + \mu(H_2(W,\ V_1))$ handles of index 3. Here, $\mu(H)$ is the minimal number of generators of the group H and $\pi_2(W, V_i)$, $i = l, 2$, is regarded as a crossed $\pi_1(V_i)$ -module.

Corollary 2. Assume that W is a compact contractible five-dimensional manifold with boundary. Then, on W, there exists a minimal topological handle decomposition with one handle of index 5, without handles of indices 0, 1, and4, but with $\mu(\pi_2(W,\, \partial W))$ handles of indices 2 and 3. Here, $\mu(H)$ is the minimal number of generators of the group H and $\pi_2(W, \partial W)$ is regarded as a crossed $\pi_1(\partial W)$-module.

This research was partially supported by the International Scientific Foundation, grant No, V6F000.

REFERENCES

1. *R*, Kirby and *L*, Sibenman, "Foundational essay on topological manifolds, smoothing and triangulations," Ann, Math Stud,, 88 (1977).

2. S. Smale, "Generalized Poincare's conjecture in dimensions greater than four, " Ann. Math. 74, No, 2, 391-406 (1961).

3. D. Barden, "Simply connected five-manifolds," Ann, Math, 82,365-385 (1965).

4. Yu, A. Shkol'nikov, "Handle decomposition of simply connected five-manifolds. III," Ukr,Mat.Zh,, 46, No.7,935-940 (1994).

5. V. *V*, Sharko, Functions on Manifolds [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1990).

6. C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, Introduction to Piecewise-Linear Topology, Springer, Berlin (1972).

7. J. Milnor, Lectures on the h-Cobordism Theorem, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1965).

8. M. Freedman, "The topology of four-dimensional manifolds, " J. Different. Geom., 17, 357-453 (1982).